25X1 =	Approved For Release 200210521 - CIA-RDP71B00822R000100200003-3	
25X1		25X ²
	Copy 1	, , !
	MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Pirector for Science and Technology	
	SUBJECT: USAF Follow On Drone Program	
	1. As I mentioned to you in the morning roundup, we obtained some G-2 yesterday from Captain Dick Wilson, USN, and Bill Holem is regarding a current expensive drone proposal which the Joint Staff is circulating for service comments at the request of the JCS. The due date for service replies to the Joint Chiefs is Tuesday, 27 April. Wilson, in effect, asked us what attitudes we thought the Navy should take regarding the soundness of this proposal. In other words, Wilson was suggesting that it would be possible for us to shape Navy's outlood, if we so desired. I told him that we would see if we could not formula to some pertinent questions which might enable all of us to see just how far USAF has gone in thinking the matter through. 2. Salient factors of the proposal are as follows:	
25X1	a. The proposal calls for the purchase of 50 drones to be divided into five squadrons of ten drones each, supported by two C-141's modified for drone launch in each squadron. As so integral part of the operation there would be five mobile processing centers, one attached to each squadron, and capitalized	
	b. The drone would feature a 2,000 NM range, altitude eptimized from 72 kilofeet to 75 kilofeet with a maximum altitude capability of 80,000. It would be powered by a GE-1 jet engine with inlets mounted on top of the build with a critical mach number of .75. The payload provisions are for 350 lbs., and the total everall weight of the entire weapons system is between 4100 and 4400 lbs. It is said to feature a low AR profile.	
	c. The camera system is said to be designed around a 24-inch focal length panoramic steres with one-foot design resolution and	25X ²
	NRO and USAF review(s) completed.	:
	SECRET.	

Approved For Release 2004/05/21 : CIA-RDP71B00822R000100200003-3

Approved For Release 2004/05 CRARDP71B00822R000100200003-3	
	1
Page 2	
a 5,000 ft. film supply. Apparently they are not firm on the	
format would either be 70 milimeter or 9 x 34 inch.	
d. The proposal is also said to say that this system would be	
supplemental to the SR-71, that it is not designed for over- flights of Russia which, according to the PCP, satellites will	
cover. The system is said to be air recoverable and re-	
usable and, based upon the North American proposal for this	
system which we have seen, it would probably be air snatched by a C-130 in the recovery zone. The NAA proposal also in-	
cluded use of the Hide material which could account for the	
alleged reduced AR profile when combined with the overhead inlet system.	
3. It is our understanding that this proposal has been through AFSC and AFLC, and also that Col. Steakley in the JRC is the prime mover within ICS circles in settlement.	
within JCS circles in getting the program going. It is not clear whather this has been exposed to the NRO process, although it is hard to believe	
that anything of this magnitude has not been run by Gene Wibling	
Havaid Business and Durate to accomp	
Harold Brown, and Brockway McMillan. There apparently is no mention	
made of the manner in which it would be funded, although the PCP cails	
for a total investment of for the system, beginning with in FY 66. The system is designed to be operational as early as 1967 m. in	
for a total investment of for the system, beginning with in FY 66. The system is designed to be operational as early as 1967 as d following, which, if true, would place it in rather direct competition	
for a total investment of for the system, beginning with in FY 66. The system is designed to be operational as early as 1967 as a following, which, if true, would place it in rather direct competition with TAGBOARD. If you have any views on how we should hardle this	
for a total investment of for the system, beginning with in FY 66. The system is designed to be operational as early as 1967 at d following, which, if true, would place it in rather direct competition with TAGBOARD. If you have any views on how we should handle this with the Navy, we would be happy to receive them. Paranthetically, I might add that it is interesting to note with what speed the Air Forest	
for a total investment of for the system, beginning with in FY 66. The system is designed to be operational as early as 1967 as a following, which, if true, would place it in rather direct competition with TAGBOARD. If you have any views on how we should handle this with the Navy, we would be happy to receive them. Paranthetically. I might add that it is interesting to note with what speed the Air Forescan concoct multimillion dollar programs in the reconnections.	
for a total investment of for the system, beginning with in FY 66. The system is designed to be operational as early as 1967 at d following, which, if true, would place it in rather direct competition with TAGBOARD. If you have any views on how we should handle this with the Navy, we would be happy to receive them. Paranthetically. I might add that it is interesting to note with what speed the Air Forestan concoct multimillion dollar programs in the reconnaissance field with little or no consultation, when compared with the agonizing factors.	
for a total investment of for the system, beginning with in FY 66. The system is designed to be operational as early as 1967 as a following, which, if true, would place it in rather direct competition with TAGBOARD. If you have any views on how we should handle this with the Navy, we would be happy to receive them. Paranthetically. I might add that it is interesting to note with what speed the Air Forescan concoct multimillion dollar programs in the reconnections.	
for a total investment of for the system, beginning with in FY 66. The system is designed to be operational as early as 1967 at d following, which, if true, would place it in rather direct competition with TAGBOARD. If you have any views on how we should handle this with the Navy, we would be happy to receive them. Paranthetically, I might add that it is interesting to note with what speed the Air Forestant concoct multimillion dollar programs in the reconnaissance field with little or no consultation, when compared with the agonizing fashion with which we are obliged to work out arrangements with the Air Force	
for a total investment of for the system, beginning with in FY 66. The system is designed to be operational as early as 1967 as d following, which, if true, would place it in rather direct competition with TAGBOARD. If you have any views on how we should handle this with the Navy, we would be happy to receive them. Paranthetically, I might add that it is interesting to note with what speed the Air Forecan concoct multimillion dollar programs in the reconnaissance field with little or no consultation, when compared with the agonizing fashion with which we are obliged to work out arrangements with the Air Force on sophisticated systems we propose.	
for a total investment of for the system, beginning with in FY 66. The system is designed to be operational as early as 1967 as d following, which, if true, would place it in rather direct competition with TAGBOARD. If you have any views on how we should handle this with the Navy, we would be happy to receive them. Paranthetically, I might add that it is interesting to note with what speed the Air Force can concoct multimillion dollar programs in the reconnaissance field with little or no consultation, when compared with the agonizing fashion with which we are obliged to work out arrangements with the Air Force on sophisticated systems we propose. Distribution: JAMES A. CUNNINGHAM, JR.	
for a total investment of for the system, beginning with in FY 66. The system is designed to be operational as early as 1967 at d following, which, if true, would place it in rather direct competition with TAGBOARD. If you have any views on how we should handle this with the Navy, we would be happy to receive them. Paranthetically. I might add that it is interesting to note with what speed the Air Force can concoct multimillion dollar programs in the reconnaissance field with little or no consultation, when compared with the agonizing fashion with which we are obliged to work out arrangements with the Air Force on sophisticated systems we propose. Distribution: 1 - DD/S&T 2 - D/Tech Special Activities	
for a total investment of for the system, beginning with in FY 66. The system is designed to be operational as early as 1967 as d following, which, if true, would place it in rather direct competition with TAGBOARD. If you have any views on how we should handle this with the Navy, we would be happy to receive them. Paranthetically, I might add that it is interesting to note with what speed the Air Force can concoct multimillion dollar programs in the reconnaissance field with little or no consultation, when compared with the agonizing fashion with which we are obliged to work out arrangements with the Air Force on sophisticated systems we propose. Distribution: 1 - DD/S&T 2 - D/Tech 3 - PS Acting Assistant Director (Special Activities)	
for a total investment of for the system, beginning with in FY 66. The system is designed to be operational as early as 1967 as d following, which, if true, would place it in rather direct competition with TAGBOARD. If you have any views on how we should handle this with the Navy, we would be happy to receive them. Faranthetically, I might add that it is interesting to note with what speed the Air Forescan concoct multimillion dollar programs in the reconnaissance field with little or no consultation, when compared with the agonizing fasmion with which we are obliged to work out arrangements with the Air Forescan sophisticated systems we propose. Distribution: 1 - DD/S&T 2 - D/Tech 3 - PS 4 - SS	
for a total investment of for the system, beginning with in FY 66. The system is designed to be operational as early as 1967 as d following, which, if true, would place it in rather direct competition with TAGBOARD. If you have any views on how we should handle this with the Navy, we would be happy to receive them. Paranthetically, I might add that it is interesting to note with what speed the Air Force can concoct multimillion dollar programs in the reconnaissance field with little or no consultation, when compared with the agonizing fashion with which we are obliged to work out arrangements with the Air Force on sophisticated systems we propose. Distribution: 1 - DD/S&T 2 - D/Tech 3 - PS Acting Assistant Director (Special Activities)	
for a total investment of for the system, beginning with in FY 66. The system is designed to be operational as early as 1967 as d following, which, if true, would place it in rather direct competition with TAGBOARD. If you have any views on how we should handle this with the Navy, we would be happy to receive them. Paranthetically, I might add that it is interesting to note with what speed the Air Forestan concoct multimillion dollar programs in the reconnaissance field with little or no consultation, when compared with the agonizing fasmion with which we are obliged to work out arrangements with the Air Force on sophisticated systems we propose. Distribution: 1 - DD/S&T	